

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,956	03/31/2004	Robert Stephen Lewandowski	132129	8312	
41838 GENERAL E	7590 12/21/2006 LECTRIC COMPANY (PC	EXAMINER			
C/O FLETCHER YODER P. O. BOX 692289 HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289			LOBO, IAN J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
. ,			3662	,	
,					
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/814,956	LEWANDOWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ian J. Lobo	3662	

	lan J. Lobo	3662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	of the final rejection)			
	-	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later In		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			CoddSC		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			_		
7. The status of the claim(a) is (a) will be a followed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a Ni	ation of Annual will as	t be entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a		
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_			
13. Other:		// ./	1		
•		/on Not	50		
	<u> </u>	lan J. Lobo			
	•	Primary Examiner			

Art Unit: 3662

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, the 112 rejection set forth in the final office action is still maintained since the indefiniteness was from the apparent discrepency between the 3claimed limitation in a dependent claim to "electrically conductive" being dependent upon a claim limitation in an independent claim to "coated with ... an insulating material". The arguments with respect to the 103 rejection have been considered and not found convincing since the limitation to ttrenches or barriers being "not extended completely" is not patentably distinguishable over the prior art.